

CHARLOTTE SELECTBOARD MEETING
Monday, December 12, 2022
Charlotte Town Hall 159 Ferry Road and via teleconference

APPROVED

SELECTBOARD MEMBERS: *In person:* James Faulkner, Chair, Frank Tenney, Vice Chair, Lewis Mudge

Remote: Matthew Krasnow

Absent: Louise McCarren

ADMINISTRATION: Dean Bloch, Town Administrator (*in person*)

OTHERS:

In person: Maggie Citarella, Sharon Mount, David McNally, Peter Demick, Kyra Wegman, Moe Harvey, Hugh Lewis Jr., Jonathan Silverman, Anne Marie Andriola, Margaret Woodruff, Maura Wygmans, Mike Dorsey, Evan Webster, Damaris Herlihy, JD Herlihy, Jesse Wegman, Elisa Forte, Lucas Adler, Laurie Moser, King Milne, Mike Moser, Carrie Spear

Remote: Bill Regan, Carrie Spear, E. Tupper, Kelly Devine, Leslie Lewis, Paul Plante, Sai Sarepalli, Larry Robinson, Deirdre Holmes, Carla Hunter,

AGENDA ITEMS:

- 6:30 PM Adjustments to the agenda
- 6:31 PM Approve warrants to pay bills
- 6:35 PM Committee requests for unbudgeted expenditures (time allotted if needed)
- 6:40 PM Minutes: 11/21, 11/28, 12/5
- 6:45 PM Interview applicants for appointment to the Conservation Commission and consider appointments to fill two vacancies with terms expiring on 4/30/23 and 4/30/24
- 6:55 PM Thompson's Point lease for Lots 207 & 208 @ 265 Lane's Lane—renew lease for 20 year term to John Wines and Elizabeth C. Wines
- 7:00 PM Public comment
- 7:05 PM Presentations on proposed FY24 budgets
 - Road Commissioner 7:05 pm – 7:15 pm
 - Library 7:15 pm – 7:30 pm
 - Town Clerk 7:30 pm – 7:45 pm
 - Selectboard 7:45 pm – 8:00 pm
- 8:00 PM Traffic calming in west and east villages—implementation budget for FY24
- 8:50 PM Selectboard updates
- 9:00 PM Adjournment

James Faulkner called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

Adjustments to the agenda

Dean Bloch stated that Energy Committee's submitted an updated budget and asked if this could be added to the agenda at approximately 8:00 p.m. James Faulkner concurred.

Approve warrants to pay bills

Warrants were signed.

Committee requests for unbudgeted expenditures (time allotted if needed)

None

Minutes: 11/21, 11/28, 12/5

MOTION by Lewis Mudge, seconded by James Faulkner, to approve the minutes for Monday, 11/21/22 as amended. VOTE: 3 ayes, 0 nays, 2 absent (Krasnow, McCarren); Motion Carried.

Matthew Krasnow joined the meeting after technical difficulties.

MOTION by Lewis Mudge, seconded by Frank Tenney, to approve the minutes for Monday, 11/28/22 as amended. VOTE: 4 ayes, 0 nays, 1 absent (McCarren); Motion Carried.

MOTION by Frank Tenney, seconded by James Faulkner, to approve the minutes for Monday, 12/05/22 as amended. VOTE: 3 ayes, 0 nays, 1 abstention (Mudge) and 1 absent (McCarren); Motion Carried.

Interview applicants for appointment to the Conservation Commission and consider appointments to fill two vacancies with terms expiring on 4/30/23 and 4/30/24

Larry Robinson stated that he is interested in being on the Conservation Commission because he feels he could offer insight and skill following going through the process of building a new home in the town of Charlotte.

Sharon Mount stated that she is interested in being on the Conservation Commission because she has lived in Charlotte for 25 years. She's a pathologist and is close to retirement. She's interested in the forest and the land of Vermont.

David McNally stated that he is interested in being on the Conservation Commission because he wants to be involved in protecting and preserving the lands and he has lived in Charlotte since 2009. He served as the Rescue Department President for 2 years and worked within the Rescue Department for 10 years.

MOTION by Lewis Mudge, seconded by James Faulkner, to appoint David McNally for a term ending 4/30/24. VOTE: 4 ayes, 0 nays, 1 absent (McCarren); Motion Carried.

MOTION by James Faulkner, seconded by Matthew Krasnow, to appoint Sharon Mount for a term ending 4/30/23. VOTE: 4 ayes, 0 nays, 1 absent (McCarren); Motion Carried.

Thompson's Point lease for Lots 207 & 208 @ 265 Lane's Lane—renew lease for 20 year term to John Wines and Elizabeth C. Wines

Dean Bloch stated that this is simply a renewal.

MOTION by James Faulkner, seconded by Lewis Mudge, to approve renewing the lease for a 20 year term to John Wines and Elizabeth C. Wines for Thompson's Point Lots 207 & 208 @ 265 Lane's Lane, authorizing the Chair to sign. VOTE: 4 ayes, 0 nays, 1 absent (McCarren); Motion Carried.

Public comment

James Faulkner expressed his gratitude for all of the work that Frank Tenney and Gerald Bouchard have done on the Land Use Regulations corrections and modifications.

Kyra Wegman, from the Planning Commission, stated that she sent a long document over the weekend and would like to be added to a future meeting agenda to speak to the Selectboard in regard to Community Heart & Soul Project. James Faulkner stated that this will be added to the agenda for the first meeting in January.

Deirdre Holmes stated that she'd like to reestablish a park and ride and bring a bus stop back into the town of Charlotte. These used to be available in town and due to the sale of the property where they were located, the property became unavailable. A study was done in 2016 by Dubois and King, and several parcels were viewed and ranked at that time. The first ranked location for this was the Rise and Shine Farm location and the second was the Town Garage location. There are new sites of interest. She proposes that a feasibility study be done with available CCRPC funding and staff. Applications need to be in by January 20, 2023. She's hoping to bring a more formal proposal for the Selectboard to review at the next scheduled meeting. James Faulkner stated that this will be added to the Selectboard meeting's agenda for December 27th.

Maura Wygmans stated that she is present to address the Liquor Control Board. On Saturday December 3rd at 11:07pm there was a 4-5 minute fireworks display from the parking lot of the Old Lantern. She stated that protocol that should have been followed for this wasn't done. She requested that the Liquor Control Board develop a set of regulations that include specific violations and penalties that could be enforced. The town has multiple public venues and have struggled with how to manage and control the activities at these public venues. She shared the state of Vermont's Department of Liquor Control's general regulations. She also shared the rules and regulations for dance halls and recreation halls in the town of Charlotte written in 1963 as evidence that the town has set forth regulations in the past. This was discussed in a past meeting around August 21, 2021. James Faulkner stated that this could be added to the agenda in January, post budget season.

Presentations on proposed FY24 budgets

- **Road Commissioner 7:05 pm – 7:15 pm**

Hugh Lewis, Jr. stated that he is level funding for FY24 but he will be requesting a 10% increase on each line item in FY25. He wants to provide the Selectboard with a year's notice for this increase. The grand total will be \$903,250.00 for FY24, and a grand total of \$993,575.00 for FY25. Inflation is the rationale for the increase in FY25.

• **Library 7:15 pm – 7:30 pm**

Margaret Woodruff presented the library budget. The library is asking for a 13.5% increase for the non-personnel budget due to heating costs and a 6.2% increase in the budget for personnel. The overall budget has a 7.3% increase for FY24 which comes to \$20,648.40 due to fuel, increased hours, and maintenance. Energy is up by 40%. This budget proposal includes a requested increase in staff hours to 20 hours/week for three positions: Cataloging Librarian, Circulation Librarian and Local History Curator/ILL Librarian. In previous budgets there have been four Library Assistant positions, and they are suggesting that two positions be merged into one position, the Circulation Librarian, and the Saturday Librarian at 20 hours/week. This comes to five hours less per week than what they were originally asking for. These three Library Assistant positions comes to \$73,330.40.

James Faulkner made the point that the overall town budget will be higher due to the benefit packages for these three positions. Margaret Woodruff stated that the benefits paid for these three positions doesn't change the library budget because benefits don't come out of the library budget. Justification of these increased hours for the three positions comes from the fact that the overall use of the library is up 30% in the past year. Patron visits are up 50% since before they closed for their expansion back in 2019, which was prior to COVID-19. The program numbers are up 164%. This is based on the numbers that they have to provide to the state.

Mary Mead pointed out that the salary increases are shown but they don't reflect the increase that the positions will have again in FY24 for the 2% and cost of living.

The Selectboard all concurred that things would remain the same as far as the library budget being a separate article. Matthew Krasnow explained that this is because the Selectboard has no management control over the Library, which is similar to fire and rescue. The Selectboard will approve a budget amount and put it out to voters to vote on in a separate article. Margaret Woodruff stated that she feels this is unusual since most municipal town library budgets are included under the umbrella of their town budget.

Frank Tenney requested that the three assistants be added to the budget showing each position's name and salary versus one lump sum for all three.

• **Town Clerk 7:30 pm – 7:45 pm**

Mary Mead presented the Town Clerk budget and the Selectboard reviewed the document and asked for specifics on the largest increases in revenue. Mary Mead stated that the most drastic change for FY24 is the interest income of \$40,000, due to the change to TD Bank, which provides the town with a higher interest percentage rate. This switch to TD Bank from the Citizens Bank has been done and the town will be completely pulled out of Citizens bank by early to mid-January once all checks have cleared. Thompson's Point rent has slightly decreased.

Mary Mead also stated that the only increase with expenses is the supplies. Contracts are more or less the same. She is unsure of the audit; it's somewhere in the \$18k range. Town Meeting is \$2,500. She spoke with the school about Town Meeting and the only expense looks to be for the extra custodial work at this time.

As for Delinquent Tax Collector she's requesting a raise. The town's delinquent tax list for all years as of 11-15-2022 was \$9,775. Due to this she feels \$8,400 is a reasonable request.

For Employee Benefits, she increased it by 5% despite knowing this is lowballing it. COLA will be known on January 15th and at that time she will be able to finalize the budget with all the correct salary numbers. As for the health insurance she took employees' current plans and applied the January 2023 rates, and then applied an increase for January to July of 2024, which gives a pretty good estimate of what this expense will be for FY24. This estimate comes to \$220,500. The town pays 90% of each employee's deductible so it depends on how healthy the employees are as well.

Maintenance has been increased from \$7k to \$10k. The increase in the Computer Service is due to the web hosting increase of \$2k/year. Total Debt Service, \$140,554.96 (\$43,156.50-Library; \$97,398.46-Fire & Rescue). Transfer to Record Restoration is \$5,000. The current balance is \$21,065. The town needs to slowly build this fund up in anticipation of complying with Act 171, which is an act relating to modernizing land records and notarial acts law. A representative is coming in January to look at land records and digitizing. Certain things are going to be enforced. This is being done with all Town Clerk offices to see where they're at and what they do in order to come up with a plan for all towns.

Energy Committee

James Faulkner stated that the Energy Committee was asked for a more detailed budget and they have submitted this updated budget and it was received today via email but it's not in the packet. Frank Tenney stated that they based their updated budget based on their expense last year. This is a breakdown of where the money is going.

Traffic calming in west and east villages—implementation budget for FY24

Jesse Wegman expressed his concern with the Town of Charlotte being without law enforcement. Traffic and speeding throughout town and particularly in the villages is a major issue. He encouraged responsible drivers to adhere to the speed limit town-wide. The traffic calming study for Greenbush Road, east Charlotte, and Ferry Road will have a major impact on achieving this goal. Traffic calming measures will only remind those responsible drivers to adhere to the speed limit. Willful speeders will only reduce speeds with the placement of speed humps or by law enforcement.

Damaris Herlihy stated that on behalf of many of the residents on Greenbush Road, she is here to support: center splits, "slow" pavement marking, existing sign adjustments, radar signs, speed humps and wider fog lines. They are in support of traffic calming for east Charlotte, as well as for Ferry Road, and anywhere else that it can be done. They would like to see some of the suggestions that the east Charlotte traffic calming study proposed on Greenbush Road as well,

such as welcome signs. They would like to see additional traffic calming measures throughout town. Lowering the speed limits at blind intersection and blind hills, "no passing zone" signs where appropriate, and bike lanes throughout town where possible. They would also like a traffic study for the Ferry Road stretch from Route 7 to Greenbush Road. They would like the town to engage in serious traffic enforcement discussions. They have sent these requests in further detail via email to the Selectboard. The Greenbush Road residents request that the Selectboard take decisive action tonight to be sure that the proper funds are allocated for the work related to the traffic calming efforts in 2023.

James Faulkner stated that the challenge is that the budget for the next fiscal year is being worked on now and the budget from now until June 30th is already set. The Selectboard wants to look at these issues with the community's advice and select the ones that can be done this fiscal year and the ones that need to wait until next fiscal year. There is currently no budget line for any of this in this fiscal year's budget. Frank Tenney concurred.

Mark Moser stated that he was disappointed and surprised to hear that there is no budget for this work now and it will need to wait until FY24. He also stated that there is a budget line for patrolling for \$20,000 which isn't likely to be spent in this current year's budget because the town doesn't get the enforcement that's needed. He feels it should have been made clear that suggestions are welcome but that budget amounts were necessary to accompany them.

James Faulkner stated that the document provided from the Greenbush Road residents with all the suggestions for traffic calming will be very helpful with determining a budget line item for this FY24 budget. Lewis Mudge made the point that with a large figure of \$300,000, this is going to need to be carefully looked at and decided on since it will affect the taxpayer. The \$300,000 figure comes from VHB, the consultant for the east Charlotte study.

Carla Hunter stated that that is from the east village, and the numbers are markedly higher for the east village due to the residential nature of the west village. The open topography of the east village requires a different level of investment for a meaningful change. The coalition is ready to speak about the west side of Charlotte, and Sai Sarepalli can speak about the east village. She would like to know what recommendations the Selectboard is seeking about the west village, the timing of those recommendations and the specific number that can be put into the budget as a line item.

James Faulkner stated that the Selectboard is simply trying to put together the total cost of the requested items. Radar signs are quoted and the rest is from CCRPC's estimates. These quotes that are still needed can be submitted to the town by no later than the end of December.

Mike Dorsey asked if funds can be reallocated by the discretion of the Selectboard and if certain projects can be accomplished prior to others based on necessity? James Faulkner stated that the Selectboard has the right and ability to reallocate funds and schedule projects prior to others based on need. There are funds that are not being used with the state police but the town does have a contract with the state police so that's something that will need further investigation.

Damaris Herlihy stated that she has a lot of questions and things to figure out with the cost differentials being so large. James Faulkner stated that if she could find out a range the budget can be based on a number that the projects are not to exceed.

Evan Webster stated that he felt that automated speeding cameras would be a solution. James Faulkner stated that this was looked into and they're unsure if it's allowed in the state of Vermont. Carla Hunter stated that it's against the Vermont state constitution and is not an option even for law enforcement. Evan Webster challenged Carla Hunter's statement and asked the Selectboard to look into it further.

James Faulkner and Frank Tenney concurred that there is a lot more to look into on the matter of automated speeding cameras and it will get done.

Lucas Adler stated that he has concerns that there are so many things being requested to get done that things may not be prioritized well. He feels speed bumps are the least expensive and the only realistic option due to a small budget. James Faulkner stated that he agrees with Lucas Adler's point if the budget is limited.

Maura Wygmans asked if the radar sign in the school zone area is going to get done prior to the new fiscal year? She also asked if there was funding in Junior Lewis' budget this fiscal year that could be used for some of the pressing items including the school zone. Lewis Mudge stated that the school zone is definitely a separate issue from the rest of the issues. He also asked if the Selectboard could just vote on putting in a specific number of speed humps and just go ahead and do it? James Faulkner reiterated that the first thing to do is to get cost estimates on all things on the priority list being requested from the Greenbush Road residents, and from there it can be decided on by the Selectboard what will be approved to be done and what of those things can get done in FY23 and FY24.

Carrie Spear stated that she would like to drive around all of Charlotte with the Selectboard and come up with a plan for appropriate speeds on all of the roadways. She also feels that beautifying areas in the town would help to slow drivers down to some degree, and would be inexpensive with donations etc. She doesn't want speed humps in east Charlotte. She is in favor of speed limit signs, center splits and some flashing lights.

Sai Sarepalli responded to Lewis Mudge's questions, stating that there are four speed humps in the proposal for the west village. These recommendations for speed humps are in strategical locations along with splitter islands in order to impact the speed. Further investigation needs to take place for the appropriate locations of the splitter islands.

Junior Lewis suggested putting in temporary speed bumps that are inexpensive, as a trial, on Greenbush Road etc., for as little as a week, as to give the residents there an idea of what it will mean, which in his opinion is noise pollution with trucks slowing and accelerating. He's aware of one resident on the north end that is already voicing disapproval of speed humps. The permanent speed humps are expensive and would also be very expensive to the town to remove if need be. The speed bumps that are screwed into place can come loose in time, and they can come up and get caught under a car. Damaris Herlihy received confirmation from Junior Lewis

that the temporary and permanent humps wouldn't be an apples to apples comparison; but, in his opinion, they would be close enough.

James Faulkner stated that an asphalt speed hump costs \$3,500-\$5,000 and a temporary prefabricated speed hump costs \$2,500-\$3,500, and are the same size. The benefit with prefabricated speed humps that are plastic is that they're moveable; therefore they could be moved if residents didn't like them in the locations where they're originally placed. Carla Hunter stated that she is in favor of the temporary speed humps. She asked if there is anything that can be done by citizens that witness the unsafe driving, such as taking down license plates? Dean Bloch stated that it could be posted in Front Porch forum.

James Faulkner stated, in terms of a community police force, he's not sure how that would work. He also stated that this is a town wide problem and the Greenbush residents have helped to expose this legitimate need. He receives calls from many other residents in the town of Charlotte living on other roads that would also like their areas attended to as well. Some have describe it as traffic calming inequities. Maura Wygmans stated that west Charlotte is the location of the highest amount of community amenities in the town, and this work would benefit more than just the residents of Greenbush Road.

J.D Herlihy asked Sai Sarepalli why there is only data for the 85th percentile in the east Charlotte village study report. Guidelines from VTrans shows other variables to be considered.

Sai Sarepalli stated that a speed study was not performed for the study, but rather a collection of speed data in east Charlotte was included in the study report. It is common practice for the 85th percentile to be used but he agrees with J.D. Herlihy that there are other factors that do need to be considered. There are some research studies that have shown, depending on the number of pedestrians per hour, the percentile can be reduced from the 85th percentile. This information is a bit vague. J.D. Herlihy encouraged the regional planning commission and the Selectboard to consider more than just the 85th percentile when setting appropriate speed limits for the areas of concern.

Damaris Herlihy stated that she would like to know if the proposal of mountable curbs with a textured surface median would be possible, or if that would pose a challenge with snow removal. She said that is a question for Junior Lewis when he is able to respond. The cost for those are more than just line painting, but they're effective. She also questioned if it would be more effective to place the radar sign just as a driver drives into the 25 mph zone, rather than farther down the road, as suggested. Sai Sarepalli stated that having the radar sign further into the 25 mph zone is more effective because at the beginning of the zone the driver seeing their speed is still in a higher speed zone.

Damaris Herlihy stated that the recommendation is for speed humps are to be 250-500 feet apart, but they appear to be farther apart in the plans. Sai Sarepalli stated that that spacing is for urban residential, and the location at hand is a rural residential. The alternating of speed humps and center splits is to give the motorists a sense to slow down.

Lewis Mudge asked Sai Sarepalli if he agreed with Junior Lewis' suggestion to set up speed bumps as a test. Sai Sarepalli stated that he feels it would be a wise idea to trial the temporary

speed humps, but he cautioned that emergency services should be consulted as well. Speed bumps are more drastic compared to speed humps, that offer gradual transfers. Warning signs should be posted.

Carrie Spear stated that there is a big difference between east and west Charlotte as far as traffic calming needs. She wants all to know she is in favor of each village having their own traffic control. She also stated the plan for Greenbush Road is a good one. She is not in favor of sidewalks at this time.

Lewis Mudge got confirmation from Dean Bloch that there is \$45k left in the ARPA funds. James Faulkner cautioned that the town garage expense needs to come first as far as the ARPA funds go. He reminded all that the plan is to get costs compiled along with installation costs and a plan will be made from there for the traffic calming projects. Carla Hunter stated that they have been in touch with the rescue services and they are on board. She feels they can come up with line item costs but they will need assistance with the installation costs from the town. Damaris Herlihy concurred with Carla Hunter. She also stated she would like to see safety town wide.

Frank Tenney stated that the Selectboard can motion to approve line items to go into the budget but the town will be the ones to ultimately vote on it for the FY24 budget. Lewis Mudge disagreed, stating the Selectboard can approve some things to get done by finding money within FY23 budget now. Frank Tenney stated he's speaking of the FY24 budget and requested that the list be prioritized with estimates of each item; then a number could come from those estimates to be used to reference and to vote on amongst the Selectboard members. James Faulkner said realistically, due not only to the limited budget, but also due to being in the winter months, signs are all that can be done at this time. The one sign and possibly a flashing light that is solar powered. Dean Bloch stated that the prioritized item list with associated costs should be submitted by December 21, 2022 so that the Selectboard can consider it on Tuesday December 27, 2022 for FY24 budget, and also for what can be done now with the FY23 budget.

Frank Tenney stated a number is needed for an annual traffic calming budget line for all of Charlotte. James Faulkner concurred. Lewis Mudge disagreed stating that the Green Bush Rd residents have put in so much work that there should be a line item for this particular project. Frank Tenney explained that with the information of cost for each item on the priority list and therefore total expense, it can be figured out what can be done with this current FY23 budget. Then the amount on the budget line for traffic calming in FY24 can be reduced by that amount. James Faulkner concurred. Damaris Herlihy stated that she just saw Sai Sarepalli's suggestions for Ferry Road and she would like to include that in her list with estimates. Dean Bloch stated that Sai Sarepalli made some recommendations last week, and plans to continue to work on the Ferry Road report.

Matthew Krasnow had left the meeting during this agenda item.

• **Selectboard FY24 Budget 7:45 pm – 8:00 pm**

Dean Bloch went through items in the draft budget for the Selectboard, Town Lands, and some other items, focusing on lines with changes. He suggested that some money be put in the budget

for an Administrative Assistant. Ten hours per week with a pay-rate of \$25/hour would result in a total amount of \$13,000. With the hiring of the new Town Administrator, to help determine the hiring rate, it could make sense to consult with Gallagher & Flynn or Rick McGuire. Dean Bloch recommends a two month overlap for training purposes. So, Dean Bloch said that for the first four months of FY24, July 1-October 31st, his pay would come to \$31,914; and if the new Town Administrator is paid \$82,000/year, then the pay for ten months in FY24, i.e. from September 1-June 30, would come to \$68,333. Therefore, the total amount in the budget is the sum of the two numbers: \$100,247. Lewis Mudge stated that he is concerned that that amount might be too low with the job market these days. James Faulkner stated that he's in touch with VLCT and Rick McGuire. He's having conversations in regard to the process and they have a market of people to look at.

Dean Bloch stated that he checked with VLCT due to the fact that fire & rescue employees could unionize after the department becomes municipal, so they suggested having an additional \$25,000 in the legal budget, which brings it to \$60,000.

For Thompson's Point trash, Dean Bloch recommends the budget be increased to \$1,150 due to increased use of the area.

Regarding the "Welcome to Charlotte" signs, there were eight signs that were identified as needing replacement. Three signs were done, including the Town Hall sign. The other two that were done were on Mount Philo Road and south Greenbush Road.

Frank Tenney asked about Landfill Monitor increase to \$11,000. Dean Bloch stated that he believes this is due to PFOA testing. He has asked for an updated estimate and will know more by Monday. Dean Bloch stated that the town mowing was done by Fortin Mowing & Snowplowing this year. The budget amounts are per each mowing location. They are interested in a two year contract. James Faulkner stated that they did a good job and there were no complaints this year.

Dean Bloch suggested that the line item for Village Wastewater System Maintenance should be \$9,900 due to inspections that have never been done. Dean Bloch consulted with VLCT in regard to property insurance for the Town Garage based on a value of \$3 million; they provided an estimate, but suggested removing site work from the value.

The field around the Town Garage may just be wildflowers, and could be brush-hogged once or twice per season, the same as it has been for several years. Dean Bloch stated that he didn't get an official estimate for the Museum roof; the \$40,000 put in the budget was an estimate that he came up with based on a recent estimate for re-roofing the Town Hall.

Selectboard updates

James Faulkner stated that there are many expenses coming that the town needs to prepare for, which includes inflation, the school, which is going to be increasing its tax rate either this year or next year, CVFRS, and the Town Garage. All of that on top of all of the increases in each committee's budgets. He went on to say that Mary Mead said the Grand List is better. Lewis Mudge stated that this is all a significant increase and taxes will be going up.

Frank Tenney stated that the Greenbush Road traffic calming items need to be added as well.

Dean Bloch stated that in the current year's budget for road signs is \$5,000. He asked Junior Lewis how much each sign costs including installation? Junior Lewis stated that costs have gone up and it's around \$75 for the post, another \$75 for a sign the size of an average speed limit sign, and installation on top of that is around \$100, therefore it's \$250 per sign. There is need for two signs on either end of a speed hump/bump and signs prior to that stating "speed hump ahead," making for a total of four signs for each speed hump/bump. Therefore, each speed hump/bump requires \$1,000 in signage. In addition, the painting on the road and on the humps will be an expense that is unknown at this time. The painting will likely have to be repainted annually. James Faulkner stated that Steve Mack is not in favor of changing the side stripes at all. There was additional discussion in regard to the speed humps versus speed bumps, with regard to if they can be plowed over, and what can be used for trialing for noise pollution and placement.

Adjournment

MOTION by James Faulkner, seconded by Lewis Mudge, to adjourn. VOTE: 3 ayes, 0 nays, 2 absent (Krasnow, McCarren); Motion Carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

Minutes respectfully submitted, Brooke Milo, Minute Taker. Edits by Dean Bloch.